

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/742,979	12/20/2000	Kazuhiko Takaishi	3408.65028	8648	
24978 7	2590 03/22/2004		EXAMINER		
GREER, BUI	GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			WONG, KIN C	
300 S WACKE	ER DR				
25TH FLOOR	25TH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	_ 60606		2651		
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	4 9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			mN		
Application No.		Applicant(s)			
09/742,979		TAKAISHI, KAZUHIKO			
Examiner		Art Unit			
K. Wong		2651			
ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					

Advisory Action

K. Wong -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov

THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Application No. 009/742,979

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the propose amended claims raise new issuses (... dynamically obtained...actual moving speed ... which were not presented earlier) that required further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: regarding to remarks on page 10 (filed on 3/3/04), applicant argues that examiner fails address the arguments for paper # 6 (Amendment A). The examiner disagrees because the arguments on paper # 6 are directed to the amended claims which are directed to with the newly added limitations that are added to the original recitations. Thus, the amendment A have changed the Office Action (# 5). Therefore, the outstanding Office Action (#7) is proper and the applicant's arguments to Takahahi (5822144) have addressed.

The remarks on page 11 (filed on 3/3/04) are directed to the newly propose amended claims and raise new issues that were not presented earlier; thus, require further consideration and search.

√kw

17 Mar 04

0

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600